arXiv:1510.08378v1 [hep-th] 28 Oct 2015

Conformal weights of charged Rényi entropy twist operators for free Dirac fields in arbitrary dimensions

J.S.Dowker[1]

Theory Group,
School of Physics and Astronomy,
The University of Manchester,
Manchester, England

The conformal weights of spherical twist operators at non–zero (Euclidean) chemical potential are computed for free Dirac fields in arbitrary dimensions. An image technique, equivalent to replicas, is again used to obtain the n–fold cover quantities.
The proof of a conjecture made by Bueno, Myers and Witczac–Krempa regarding the relation between the conformal weights and a corner coefficient in the Rényi entropy, given before for scalar fields, is extended to the fermion case.
The variation of the weights with chemical potential indicates phase changes.

[1] dowker@man.ac.uk; dowkeruk@yahoo.co.uk

1. Introduction and summary.

This is a companion work to an earlier one, [1], on scalar fields and is devoted to Dirac fields. The object remains the same, *i.e.* to compute the conformal weights of spherical twist operators at non–zero (Euclidean) chemical potential for arbitrary (even and odd) dimensions for free fields. Bueno, Myers and Witczak–Krempa, [2], discovered an interesting 'duality' relation connecting boson and fermion quantities (at zero chemical potential), and would be interesting to pursue this.

The method is precisely that employed before, apart from the different kinematics and statistics, so some of the details can be glossed over. A portion of the analysis already exists in [3].

2. The basics

The calculations are usually performed by conformal transformation from the original flat space spherical entangling geometry to the hyperbolic cylinder. The conformal weights of the spherical twist operators are then given in terms of the energy density on this manifold, *e.g.* [4]. By a further conformal transformation (or directly) this can be written in terms of the energy density on the (Euclideanised) cosmic string space, which has the d–dimensional metric

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 + d\mathbf{z}.d\mathbf{z}\,, \tag{1}$$

with ϕ ranging from 0 to $2\pi/q$ (= cone angle, β), the end points being identified. The average, $\langle T_{zz}\rangle$, has the structure,

$$\langle T_{zz}(q,\mu)\rangle = \frac{N(q,\mu)}{r^d} \tag{2}$$

and the conformal weight, h_n, can be written,

$$h_n(\mu) = 2\pi n N(q,\mu)\,. \tag{3}$$

The factor of r^d has cancelled during the conformal transformation. $T = 1/\beta = q/2\pi$ is interpreted as a temperature and, to accord with other notations, I have set $n = 1/q$.

In obtaining this formula, I have made the renormalisation choice that all quantities vanish when $q = 1$ and $\mu = 0$, which is just flat Euclidean space.

The chemical potential, μ, can be introduced, in one gauge frame, by a modification of the fermionic periodicity conditions to

$$\psi(\phi+\beta) = -e^{2\pi i\delta}\,\psi(\phi) = e^{2\pi i(\delta\pm 1/2)}\psi(\phi)\,.$$

The average (2) is with respect to such fields. The basic problem is the evaluation of this average. For the relation between δ and the chemical potential see section 7.

3. The calculation

The average has been evaluated, for 4 dimensions, in [3], where it is denoted $\langle T_{00}\rangle$.[2] I repeat some of the analysis but for a d–dimensional space–time. To avoid confusion, I will maintain the Lorentzian signature of [3] for a while. Hence I now set,

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2 d\phi^2 - d\mathbf{z}.d\mathbf{z}\,, \tag{4}$$

written, equivalently, in terms of an angle, $\overline{\phi}$, having the physical range $0 \le \overline{\phi} \le 2\pi$, as,

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2\left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right)^2 d\overline{\phi}^2 - d\mathbf{z}.d\mathbf{z} \equiv g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu\,dx^\nu\,, \tag{5}$$

which can be treated in the conventional way. I can refer to the (artificial) coordinates in (4) as 'flat' coordinates.

All the main analytical activity takes place in the conical, $(t, r, \overline{\phi})$, part of the space, the remaining $\mathbf{z}$ coordinates being spectators. I henceforth drop explicit reference to them.

The main difference to [3] is in the kinematics. The massless equation is written in the Dirac form,

$$i\gamma^\mu\,\nabla_\mu\psi = 0\,, \tag{6}$$

where the γ^μ are generally covariant matrices corresponding to a particular choice of Vielbeine which, generally, locally diagonalise the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ to Minkowski form, $\eta_{\mu'\nu'}$ of signature $(1,-1,\ldots,-1)$.

The spin covariant derivative is $\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$ with the conical connection Γ given by, [5],

$$\Gamma_0 = 0\,,\quad \Gamma_r = 0\,,\quad \Gamma_\phi = \frac{\beta}{2\pi}\gamma^{1'}\gamma^{2'}$$

[2] When Euclideanised, the 't' coordinate becomes one of the $\mathbf{z}$ coordinates in (1).

where $\gamma^{1'}\gamma^{2'}/2$ is the Dirac generator of rotations perpendicular to the $\mathbf{z}$ manifold *i.e.* in the $(r, \overline{\phi})$ plane. The local Vielbeine axes have been chosen parallel to the local $t, r, \overline{\phi}, \mathbf{z}$ coordinate axes and the $\gamma^{\mu'}$ can be taken to be any standard set of Minkowski gamma matrices (in odd and even dimensions).

The formal considerations in [3] are mostly unchanged. Their essential point is that a spin gauge transformation (a rotation) converts the curved space γ^{μ} to their standard flat space form and also the covariant derivatives in the equations of motion (6) to ordinary ones. This corresponds to replacing the spinor connection by an altered periodicity which eases the calculation. It amounts to a transfer to the flat metric, (4).

The result is that the spinor Green function on the cone is given by

$$S_\beta(x, x') = i\gamma^{\mu'}\,\partial_{\mu'} D_\beta(x, x') \tag{7}$$

where D_β is a matrix, and is the analogue of the scalar Green function, D, in the usual Minkowski theory.

It is given by the contour integral,

$$D_\beta(x, x') = \int_A d\alpha\, D(\phi - \phi' + \alpha)\, U(\alpha)\, \frac{e^{2\pi\, i\delta\alpha/\beta}}{2i\beta\, \sin(\pi\alpha/\beta)}\,. \tag{8}$$

The matrix nature of D_β is contained in $U(\alpha)$ which is the standard Dirac rotation matrix for a rotation through α about the cone axis. Its form is textbook,

$$U(\alpha) = \mathbf{1}\cos\frac{\alpha}{2} + \gamma^{1'}\,\gamma^{2'}\sin\frac{\alpha}{2}\,. \tag{9}$$

$D(x, x')$ is the usual, d–dimensional Minkowski scalar Green function given by (I display only the polar angle),

$$D(\phi - \phi') = -\frac{i}{4}\pi^{-d/2}\,\Gamma(d/2 - 1)\,\frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + i0)^{d/2-1}}\,, \tag{10}$$

where σ^2 is the space–time interval between x and x' ,

$$\sigma^2 = -(t - t')^2 + r'^2 + r^2 - 2rr'\cos(\phi - \phi') + |\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}'|^2\,, \tag{11}$$

chosen positive for space–like separation. The phases, *etc.* , are given by Gelfand and Shilov, [6], p.280 eqn.(5) with $K_1 = -D$, according to the conventions of [7]

A phase change, δ, has been incorporated so that as, say, ϕ' is increased by β, S_β undergoes a phase change of $-\exp(2\pi i\delta)$ required in order to incorporate a chemical potential.

A is Sommerfeld's contour. For conciseness I appeal to other discussions for its exact location, [8,9]. The advantage is that a simple deformation immediately extracts the Minkowski spinor Green function as a renormalisation and leaves an integral over another contour, Γ, that can consist of two vertical lines $c\pm\infty \cup -c\pm\infty$. I note that the contours A and Γ are antisymmetric under $\alpha \to -\alpha$ and so the integrand has to be similarly antisymmetric.

The vacuum average, $\langle T_{00}\rangle$, is given by the coincidence limit (denoted by square brackets),

$$\langle T_{00}\rangle = -[\mathrm{Tr}\,\gamma^0\partial_0\, S_\beta]\,. \tag{12}$$

Substitution of (7), (9) into (8) (with the contour A replaced by Γ), taking the trace and using the antisymmetry of the integrand leaves only time derivatives so that all other coincidence limits can be taken at once and then,

$$\langle T_{00}\rangle = -\mathcal{S}\, i\int_\Gamma d\alpha\,[\partial_0\partial_0 D(\alpha)]\,\frac{\cos(\alpha/2)\cos(2\pi\delta\alpha/\beta)}{2\beta i\sin(\pi\alpha/\beta)}\,,$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ is the dimension of the γ–matrices.

The remaining coincidence limit can be found from (10) and (11) where now

$$\sigma(\alpha) = 4r^2\sin^2\frac{\alpha}{2} - (t-t')^2\,.$$

The result is the expression,

$$\langle T_{00}\rangle(q,\delta) = -\mathcal{S}\,q\frac{1}{r^d}\frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2+1}2^{d+2}}\Big[W_d(q,(q{+}1)/2q{+}\delta){+}W_d(q,(q{+}1)/2q{-}\delta)\Big]\,, \tag{13}$$

where, to agree with an earlier definition,

$$W_d(q,\delta) = \frac{i}{4}\int_\Gamma d\alpha\frac{\cos(q\overline{\delta}\alpha)}{\sin^d(\alpha/2)\sin(q\alpha/2)}\,, \tag{14}$$

with $\overline{\delta}\equiv\delta-1/2$. δ is the flux.

It is important to remark that $W_d(q,(q+1)/2q\pm\delta)$ is periodic in δ with unit cell $-1/2<\delta\le 1/2$ which choice maintains the necessary symmetry under $\delta\to-\delta$.

There are now several ways of proceeding, exactly as in the scalar case, because the integral (14) has there been evaluated and the calculation here is merely one of gathering terms and imposing the requisite periodicity. For even d a closed form can be found for all cone openings and chemical potential. I will not pursue this at the moment. Alternatively, if there is no conical singularity *i.e.* if $q=1$, then closed forms exist for all δ for both even and odd dimension. It is then possible to find $\langle T_{00}\rangle$ for all *integer* coverings of the plane by applying images. It is this path I wish to follow first.

4. Odd dimensions

The integral (14) evaluates, when $q = 1$, to,

$$W_d(1,x) = \frac{2^d}{\Gamma(d+1)}\pi\overline{x}\cot\pi\overline{x}\,(1-\overline{x}^2)\ldots(\overline{d}^2-\overline{x}^2)\,, \tag{15}$$

where $d \equiv 2\overline{d}+1$ and $\overline{x} = x - 1/2$. The necessary periodisation in x will be understood. (For notational convenience only, I have replaced δ by x.)

For example in three dimensions

$$W_3(1,\delta) = \frac{4}{3}\pi\overline{\delta}\,\cot\pi\overline{\delta}\,(1-\overline{\delta}^2) \tag{16}$$

and in five,

$$W_5(1,\delta) = \frac{4}{15}\pi\overline{\delta}\,\cot\pi\overline{\delta}\,(1-\overline{\delta}^2)(4-\overline{\delta}^2)\,. \tag{17}$$

The energy densities, (13), become,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T^{(3)}_{00}\rangle(1,\delta) &= -\frac{2}{r^3}\,\frac{\Gamma(3/2)}{32\pi^{5/2}}\frac{4\pi}{3}\frac{1}{2}\delta\tan\pi\delta\,(4\delta^2-1)\\ &= \frac{1}{48\pi r^3}\delta\tan\pi\delta\,(1-4\delta^2)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T^{(5)}_{00}\rangle(1,\delta) &= \frac{4}{r^5}\,\frac{\Gamma(5/2)}{2^7\pi^{7/2}}\frac{4\pi}{15}\frac{1}{8}\delta\tan\pi\delta\,(4\delta^2-1)(4\delta^2-9)\\ &= \frac{1}{1280\pi^2\,r^5}\,\delta\tan\pi\delta\,(4\delta^2-1)(4\delta^2-9)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and so on.

Hence the weights h_1, (3), equal,

$$\begin{aligned}h^{(3)}_1(\mu) &= \frac{1}{24}\,\mu\tan\pi\mu\,(1-4\mu^2)\\ h^{(5)}_1(\mu) &= \frac{1}{640\pi}\,\mu\tan\pi\mu\,(4\mu^2-1)(4\mu^2-9)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

5. Images

The results just obtained can be extended from a single covering of the plane to an integer covering by using images. This method, given in [8], has been further explained in [1]. It was used there for scalars and it was also shown how to extend it to fermions, to which I now apply it in more detail. It is identical to a replica relation.

In the situation under consideration, the basic fermion relation was shown to be,

$$K^f_{n\beta}\big(\phi; n(\delta+1/2)+1/2\big) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} K^f_\beta(\phi;\delta+s/n)\,. \tag{21}$$

The second argument of K is the flux through the relevant cone.

Assuming no flux on the left–hand side gives,

$$\delta \equiv \delta_0 = -\frac{n-1}{2n}\,,$$

leading to the specific relation,

$$K^f_{2\pi n}\big(\phi\,;1\big) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=-(n-1)/2}^{(n-1)/2} K^f_{2\pi}(\phi\,;k/n)\,, \tag{22}$$

which I take to be the zero chemical potential relation. Non–zero chemical potential will be considered later in section 7.

Expressed in terms of the local heat–kernel, as one specific field theory quantity, this equation transcribes into the equation for the weights,

$$h^{(d)}_n = \sum_{k=-(n-1)/2}^{(n-1)/2} h^{(d)}_1\big(k/n\big)\,, \tag{23}$$

and, explicitly, one finds the n–covering conformal weights (noting that k/n lies in the unit cell),

$$h^{(3)}_n = \frac{1}{24\,n^3}\sum_{k=-(n-1)/2}^{(n-1)/2} \tan(\pi k/n)\,k\,(n^2-4k^2)\,. \tag{24}$$

At this point, I reproduce the Elvang and Hadjiantonis expression, [10], for the fermion corner invariant for Rényi entropy obtained by hefty manipulation of an integral form of Casini and Huerta, [11],

$$\sigma^{(3)}_n = \frac{1}{24\pi\,n^3(n-1)}\sum_{k=-(n-1)/2}^{(n-1)/2} \tan(\pi k/n)\,k\,(n^2-4k^2)\,,$$

showing that,

$$h_n^{(3)} = \pi(n-1)\,\sigma_n^{(3)}\,,$$

as conjectured and verified, case by case, in [2].

In five dimensions,

$$h_n^{(5)} = \frac{1}{640\pi\,n^5}\sum_{k=-(n-1)/2}^{(n-1)/2}\tan(\pi k/n)\,k(n^2-4k^2)(4k^2-9n^2)\,. \tag{25}$$

The calculated values of $h_n^{(3)}$ agree with those in Table 1 in [2]. I give a couple of them for five dimensions,

$$\begin{aligned} h_3^{(5)} &= \frac{77}{5184\pi} \\ h_5^{(5)} &= \frac{3\sqrt{447698\sqrt{5}+3965725}}{64\;5^{11/2}\,\pi}\,. \end{aligned}$$

An independent computation of $\sigma^{(5)}$ would be interesting.

6. Even dimensions. Closed formulae.

For even d, the singularities of the massless Green function are poles and the contour Γ can be deformed into a loop around the origin which enables a closed form to be found in terms of generalised Bernoulli (actually Nörlund D) polynomials as described in [1]. The answer is ([1] (24)),

$$W_d(q,\delta) = \frac{(-1)^{d/2}\,\pi}{d!\,q}D_d^{(d+1)}\big(q\overline{\delta}\mid q,\mathbf{1}_d\big)\,. \tag{26}$$

The Ds can easily be expanded as bipolynomials in q and δ. I will not give the general expressions, but turn to another approach which involves an alternative (image) form for the integral obtained by choosing yet another contour (see [12]),

$$W_d(q,\delta) = -\frac{2\pi}{q}\sum_{l=1}^{q-1}\cos(2\pi\delta l)\,\mathrm{cosec}^{\,d}\frac{\pi l}{q}\,, \tag{27}$$

where $\delta = r/q$ is rational.

Although, to begin with, q is integral, after the sum has been performed to give a rational function of q one can set $q = 1/n$, now with, *e.g.* , n integral, [13].

The combination of Ws needed is displayed in (13) in square brackets, I will consider only the case of no chemical potential when $\mu = 1$, or, by periodicity, when $\mu = 0$. Hence one makes the substitution $\delta = (q+1)/2q$ in (27) giving the particular twisted sum,

$$\sum_{l=1}^{q-1}(-1)^{l+1}\cos\frac{\pi l}{q}\,\mathrm{cosec}^{\,2g+2}\frac{\pi l}{q}\,,\quad d=2g+2\,. \tag{28}$$

This has been evaluated by Jeffery, [14], see [13]. His formula for this sum is,

$$\frac{2}{(2g+1)!}\sum_{i=0}^{g}(2i+1)!\,V_i^g\left(q^{2i+2}-1\right),\frac{\eta(2i+2)}{\pi^{2i+2}}\,, \tag{29}$$

but only if q is odd. However, since (29) is an explicit (polynomial) function, it can be extended to arbitrary (real) values of q. Only if q is an odd integer can it be represented by the summation, (28). The V_i^g are constants and η is the Dirichlet η function.

From (13) and (3), the fermion conformal weight is, setting $q = 1/n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_n^{(2g,f)} &= -2\pi n\,2\mathcal{S}\,\frac{1}{n}\frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\pi^{d/2+1}2^{d+2}}W_d\big(1/n,(1+n)/2\big)\\ &= -\mathcal{S}\frac{\Gamma(g)}{2(4\pi)^{g-1}}\frac{1}{(2g-1)!}\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}(2i+1)!\,V_i^{g-1}\left(n^{-2i-1}-n\right)\frac{\eta(2i+2)}{\pi^{2i+2}}\\ &= \frac{\mathcal{S}}{2(4\pi)^{g-1}}\frac{(g-1)!}{(2g-1)!}\sum_{i=0}^{g-1}(2i+1)!\,V_i^{g-1}\left(n-n^{-2i-1}\right)\frac{\eta(2i+2)}{\pi^{2i+2}}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

which is positive if $n > 1$. The same form, written differently, is given in [2].

The constants V_i^g have been discussed in [13]. They are positive integers. Jeffery computes them by hand from their combinatorial significance. They also obey a recursion formula. A table of values can be found in [15].

For comparison, I reproduce the similar expression for the scalar field in the form as derived in [1],

$$h_n^{(2g,s)} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{g-1}}\frac{(g-1)!}{(2g-1)!}\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}2^{2g-2i-1}(2i+1)!\,B_i^g\left(n-n^{-2i-1}\right)\frac{\zeta(2i+2)}{\pi^{2i+2}}\,, \tag{31}$$

where the B_i^g are known constants.

These expressions indicate that the duality between boson and fermion quantities proved in [2] for $d = 2$ and $d = 3$ does not extend to even dimensions bigger than two.

7. Non–zero chemical potential

A non–zero chemical potential, μ, is achieved by setting $\delta = \mu + \delta_0$ in (21) which then reads,

$$\begin{aligned} K^f_{n\beta}\big(\phi; n\mu\big) &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} K^f_\beta(\phi; \mu + \delta_0 + s/n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=-(n-1)/2}^{(n-1)/2} K^f_\beta(\phi; \mu + k/n)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

In particular if $\beta = 2\pi$ the flux through the n-fold plane covering is $n\mu$ and corresponds to a flux of μ through a single, 2π sheet, *i.e.* to the chemical potential.

For both odd and even dimensions, equation (23) generalises to,

$$h^{(d)}_n(\mu) = \sum_{k=-(n-1)/2}^{(n-1)/2} h^{(d)}_1\big(\mu + k/n\big)\,. \tag{33}$$

This equation is periodic in μ with period $1/n$.

To find $h^{(d)}_1(x)$ one needs $\langle T_{00}\rangle$ given by (13). For odd d, $W_d(1,x)$ has already been given in (15) while for even d, the integral (14) evaluates, at $q = 1$, to,

$$W_d(1,x) = \frac{\pi 2^d}{\Gamma(d+1)}\,(\frac{1}{4} - \overline{x}^2)\ldots(\frac{(d-1)^2}{4} - \overline{x}^2)\,, \quad \overline{x} = x - 1/2\,. \tag{34}$$

Periodisation is now necessary because of the presence of μ in (33). This is easily implemented by machine. The easiest way is, in (32), to periodise $\mu + k/n$ relative to the unit cell $-1/2 < x \leq 1/2$.

The combination,

$$W_d(1, 1+\delta) + W_d(1, 1-\delta)\,,$$

occurs in (13) with $\delta = \mu$ and so one has to evaluate the right–hand sides of (15),(34) for $\overline{x} = 1/2 \pm \mu$ taking the periodisation into account.

Some results of numerical evaluation are exhibited in the following figures.

Fig.1. d=3 Conformal weight for coverings, n



Fig.2. d=2 Conformal weight for coverings, n





The plots are very similar. All show cusps at the values, $m/2n$, with m an odd integer which are essentially caused by the μ–periodicity of $1/n$. In two dimensions a similar behaviour was found in [4] for a similar reason.

A certain check can be done for even dimension, d, for then there exists an exact (polynomial) form for $\langle T_{00}\rangle$ as a function of n and δ. Periodicity is applied according to the left–hand side of (32) which is periodic in $\delta = n\mu$ with respect to $-1/2 < \delta \leq 1/2$. (In reality, this only checks the relation between the image expression and the closed formula, which can be obtained, *e.g.* , by standard summations of powers.)

8. Comments and conclusion

A previous calculation for scalar fields has been extended to Dirac fields with similar conclusions, such as a proof of the conjecture in [2] connecting a corner coefficient with the conformal weights (at zero chemical potential). The variation of the weights with chemical potential exhibits cusps signalling phase changes. In general, there does not seem to be a boson–fermion duality.

Appendix

I here give some of my Dirac conventions which are generally those of Bogoliubov and Shirkov, [7], extended to arbitrary dimensions. They are quite standard.

The Dirac equation is,

$$(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\,\psi = 0\,, \quad \text{with } \gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu}\,,$$

of negative signature. The energy–density is,

$$T^{00} = \frac{i}{2}\left(\overline{\psi}\gamma^0\partial_0\psi - \partial_0\overline{\psi}\gamma^0\psi\right),$$

and the causal Green function matrix is the vacuum average,

$$S_c = i\langle T(\psi \times \overline{\psi})\rangle_0 \,,$$

so that

$$\langle T^{00}\rangle = -\left[\partial_0 \mathrm{tr}\,(\gamma^0 S)\right].$$

S is related to the scalar causal function, D_c by

$$S_c = i\gamma^\mu\,\partial_\mu\, D_c\,.$$

References.

1. Dowker,J.S. *Conformal weights of charged Rényi entropy twist operators for free scalar fields in arbitrary dimensions* ArXiv:1509.00782.
2. Bueno,P., Myers,R.C. and Witczak–Krempa,W. *Universal corner entanglement from twist operators* ArXiv:1507.06997.
3. Dowker,J.S. *Phys. Rev.* **D36** (1987) 3742.
4. Belin,A.,Hung,L-Y.,Maloney,A.,Matsuura,S.,Myers,R.C. and Sierens,T. *JHEP* **12** (2013) 059.
5. Dowker,J.S. *J. Phys.* **A2** (1969) 267.
6. Gel'fand,I.M. and Shilov,G.E. *Generalised Functions* Vol.1 (Academic Press, New York, 1964.
7. Bogoliubov,N.N. and Shirkov,D.V. *Introduction to the theory of quantized fields* (Interscience, New York, 1959.).
8. Dowker,J.S. *Phys. Rev.* **D36** (1987) 3095.
9. Dowker,J.S. *J. Phys.* **A10** (1977) 115.
10. Elvang, H and Hadjiantonis,M. *Exact results for corner contributions to the entanglement entropy and Rényi entropies of free bosons and fermions in 3d* ArXiv: 1506.06729 .
11. Casini H., and Huerta,M. *J. Phys.* **A42** (2009) 504007.
12. Dowker,J.S. *J. Phys.* **A25** (1992) 2641.
13. Dowker,J.S. *On sums of powers of cosecs*, ArXiv:1507.01848.
14. Jeffery, H.M. *Quart. J. Math.* **6** (1864) 82.
15. Thiele,T.N. *Interpolationsrechnung* (Teubner, Leipzig, 1909).